



ELSEVIER

---



---

 JOURNAL OF  
**ADOLESCENT  
 HEALTH**


---



---

www.jahonline.org

Original article

## Beyond Age at First Sex: Patterns of Emerging Sexual Behavior in Adolescence and Young Adulthood

Abigail A. Haydon, M.P.H., Ph.D.<sup>a,\*</sup>, Amy H. Herring, Sc.D.<sup>c,d</sup>, Mitchell J. Prinstein, Ph.D.<sup>e</sup>, and Carolyn Tucker Halpern, Ph.D.<sup>b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> AAAS/APA Executive Branch Fellow, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland

<sup>b</sup> Department of Maternal and Child Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, UNC Gillings School of Global, Public Health, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

<sup>c</sup> Carolina Population Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

<sup>d</sup> Department of Biostatistics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, UNC Gillings School of Global, Public Health, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

<sup>e</sup> Department of Psychology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

*Article history:* Received March 23, 2011; Accepted September 15, 2011

*Keywords:* Oral sex; Anal sex; Latent class analysis; Nationally representative; Noncoital; Sexuality development; Adolescent sexual behavior; Sociodemographic differences

---

### A B S T R A C T

**Purpose:** Although the emergence of sexual expression during adolescence and early adulthood is nearly universal, little is known about patterns of initiation.

**Methods:** We used latent class analysis to group 12,194 respondents from waves I and IV of the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health (Add Health) into one of five classes based on variety, timing, spacing, and sequencing of oral-genital, anal, and vaginal sex. Multinomial logistic regression models, stratified by biological sex, examined associations between sociodemographic characteristics and class membership.

**Results:** Approximately half of respondents followed a pattern characterized predominately by initiation of vaginal sex first, average age of initiation of approximately 16 years, and spacing of >1 year between initiation of the first and second behaviors; almost one-third initiated sexual activity slightly later but reported first experiences of oral-genital and vaginal sex within the same year. Classes characterized by postponement of sexual activity, initiation of only one type of behavior, or adolescent initiation of anal sex were substantially less common. Compared with white respondents, black respondents were more likely to appear in classes characterized by initiation of vaginal sex first. Respondents from lower socioeconomic backgrounds were more likely to be in classes distinguished by early/atypical patterns of initiation.

**Conclusions:** A small number of typical and atypical patterns capture the emergence of sexual behavior during adolescence, but these patterns reveal complex associations among different elements of emerging sexuality that should be considered in future research.

© 2012 Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine. All rights reserved.

The study of sexual development has traditionally emphasized the predictors and consequences of single sexual events over broad patterns of emerging sexual behavior—an approach at odds with both developmental systems perspectives on human behavior and the sexual/romantic experiences of adolescents and young adults. Addressing this imbalance is critical not only to the elaboration of

theories of sexual development, but also to the design of effective interventions and curricula for promoting sexual health across the life course. The goal of this analysis is to describe patterns of initiation of oral-genital, anal, and vaginal sex in a nationally representative sample, and the extent to which the prevalence of different patterns varies by sociodemographic characteristics.

*Patterns of Early Sexual Behavior: Variety, Timing, Spacing, and Sequencing*

The correlates of first vaginal sex are arguably the most widely studied aspect of adolescent sexuality [1]. However, ex-

---

\* Address correspondence to: Abigail A. Haydon, M.P.H., Ph.D., Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, 6100 Executive Blvd, Room 8B07J, MSC 7510, Bethesda, MD 20892-7510.

E-mail address: aahaydon@gmail.com (A.A. Haydon).

clusive focus on vaginal sex is inconsistent with cross-sectional research indicating substantial variety in adolescent sexual expression. Data from the 2006–2008 National Survey of Family Growth indicate that approximately 48% of males and 45% of females ages 15–19 years have engaged in oral-genital sex with an opposite-sex partner, and approximately 11% of females and 10% of males aged 15–19 years have engaged in anal sex with an opposite-sex partner [2].

Although initiation of anal sex typically occurs well beyond adolescence (if at all), first experiences of oral-genital and vaginal sex appear to occur in close proximity [3]. In the first prospective study to examine the predictive relationship between initiation of oral-genital and vaginal sex, Halpern-Felsher et al. identified the modal behavioral pathway in a sample of California high school students as either initiation of oral-genital sex before vaginal sex or within the same 6-month period [4]. In contrast, only 6% of adolescents in the 2002 National Survey of Family Growth reported having had anal sex within 6 months of initiating vaginal sex [3]. These results suggest that certain sequences of sexual behaviors are statistically normative, whereas others (e.g., anal sex before vaginal/oral-genital sex) are highly atypical.

Factors such as timing and sequencing of sexual behaviors vary by sociodemographic characteristics. In general, white adolescents are more likely than other racial/ethnic groups to report having engaged in oral-genital or anal sex [3,5]. Compared with white adolescents, black adolescents initiate vaginal sex at earlier ages [6,7] and are more likely to engage in vaginal sex before noncoital activities [8,9]. Early sexual behavior also differs by socioeconomic status, with some evidence that adolescents from higher socioeconomic backgrounds are more likely to engage in oral-genital or anal sex [3,9].

Despite evidence of variation in the timing, sequencing, and spacing of coital and noncoital behaviors, we are aware of only one study that has examined these factors simultaneously [10]. The majority of respondents in this Dutch sample reported gradual and so-called linear sexual progressions (in which less intimate behaviors, such as kissing or heavy petting, preceded more intimate behaviors, such as oral-genital or vaginal sex), whereas the remainder experienced a “nonlinear” and/or tightly spaced progression. However, the extent to which similar patterns are evident in U.S. populations is unclear. Moreover, the concept of linearity, which relies on researchers’ judgments of the relative intimacy of different behaviors, may be inappropriate for use in sociodemographically diverse populations, particularly given evidence that sexual norms may vary by race/ethnicity [11].

This line of research is important for several reasons. Unlike the narrow and decontextualized definition of sexuality encouraged by examining sexual behaviors in isolation, comprehensive description of broad patterns of early sexual behavior provides a basis for research conceptualizing sexuality as a normative developmental process. Basic description of behavioral patterns also facilitates contextual interpretation, builds the foundation for more advanced statistical modeling, and helps elaborate theories of sexual development that can inform prevention and intervention efforts [12]. Moreover, research in other behavioral domains, such as romantic relationship formation [13], suggests that early sexual patterns may have important implications for promoting sexual health. From a practical standpoint, further delineation of sexual patterns—and sociodemographic differences in these patterns—is therefore critical to identifying inter-

personal and behavioral contexts that may contribute to reproductive and sexual health disparities [14,15].

### The Present Study

Our primary aim was to describe typical and atypical patterns of early sexual development in a nationally representative sample of adolescents. We used latent class analysis (LCA) to identify these patterns, making no assumptions about the relative intimacy of different behaviors or the linearity of sexual patterns. Our secondary aim, after identifying classes, was to document sociodemographic differences in class membership.

## Methods

### Data

We used data from the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health (Add Health), a nationally representative study of approximately 20,000 adolescents in the United States in grades 7–12 in 1994–1995. The Add Health design has been described in detail elsewhere [16]. To date, four waves of data collection have followed respondents from adolescence into adulthood. The present analysis used data from Wave I (N = 20,745; ages 11–21 years; response rate = 78.9%) and Wave IV (N = 15,701; ages 24–34 years; response rate = 80.3%), and was restricted to respondents who appeared at both waves and had valid sampling weights (N = 14,800). Respondents lacking data on lifetime history and ages of initiation of oral-genital, anal, or vaginal sex (n = 969) or sociodemographic characteristics (n = 243) were excluded. Because patterns of sexual development may differ substantially between sexual minority and sexual majority individuals [17,18], we also excluded respondents who reported having had a same-sex romantic partner (n = 1,526). These exclusion criteria yielded an analytical sample of 12,194.

### Measures

**Sexual behavior.** At Wave IV, respondents used computer-assisted self-interviewing (CASI) technology to report whether they had ever engaged in vaginal, anal, and oral-genital sex, based on the following questions. Vaginal sex: “Have you ever had vaginal intercourse? (Vaginal intercourse is when a man inserts his penis into a woman’s vagina.)” Oral-genital sex: “Have you ever had oral sex? That is, has a partner ever put his/her mouth on your sexual organs or you put your mouth on his/her sex organs?” Anal sex: “Have you ever had anal intercourse? (By anal intercourse, we mean when a man inserts his penis into his partner’s anus or butt hole.)” For each endorsed behavior, respondents indicated the age (in years) of initiation.

We used this information to generate five measures of sexual experience that serve as indicators of emergent sexual patterns in subsequent LCAs. We classified the *first behavior initiated* as vaginal sex, oral-genital sex, vaginal and oral-genital sex initiated within the same year, or anal sex (alone or within the same year as vaginal and/or oral-genital sex). Because ages of initiation were reported in whole years, we were unable to obtain more detailed sequencing information for respondents who reported initiating two or more behaviors at the same whole-digit age. *Timing of first sexual experience* was defined as the age at which the first sexual experience (among oral-genital, anal, and vaginal sex) occurred. *Number/variety of behaviors* indicated the number

of different sexual behaviors (among the three measured) in which the respondent had ever engaged. *Spacing between first and second behavior* was defined as the age in years of the first sexual experience subtracted from the age of the second experience, and was converted to a six-category nominal variable (including a response category for “initiated only one type of behavior”). Finally, we included an indicator of whether the respondent had initiated *anal sex before age 18*.

**Sociodemographic characteristics.** We created a four-category measure of *parental educational attainment* (less than high school; high school diploma or GED [General Educational Diploma/General Equivalency Diploma]; some college or posthigh school vocational education; or college graduate) using data from the Wave I parent interview. We selected the highest level attained in households with two resident parents. When parental reports were unavailable (approximately 15% of the total adolescent sample), we substituted the adolescent’s report of their parents’ educational attainment. We derived a combined measure of *race/ethnicity* (Hispanic, any race; non-Hispanic black; non-Hispanic white; and other) from respondents’ Wave I self-report. Wave IV chronological age was calculated by subtracting the date of birth from the Wave IV interview date. Biological sex was based on respondents’ self-report.

#### Analysis plan

Similar to cluster analysis, the goal of LCA is to group cases into similar classes based on responses to a given set of items [19,20]. We implemented latent class models in Latent Gold 4.5 (Statistical Innovations, Belmont, MA) and conducted analyses with 250 sets of random starting values, specifying 250 iterations for each set. There is no universally agreed-upon indicator for selecting the optimal number of classes in LCA [21]; therefore, we relied on several different criteria. First, because our goal was to identify broad patterns of sexual development, we excluded class solutions that yielded latent class sizes of <5% of the full sample. We also examined the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) [22], a goodness-of-fit measure that penalizes the log-likelihood to show preference for more parsimonious models. Finally, we considered interpretability and the extent to which classes were substantively different. In preliminary latent class analyses stratified by sociodemographic characteristics (race/ethnicity, biological sex, parental educational attainment [college degree vs. no college degree], and chronologic age at wave IV [24–28 vs.  $\geq 29$ ]), class structures were comparable across sociodemographic groups. We therefore present results based on analyses of the total sample.

Latent class membership is not directly observed. To model associations between sociodemographic factors and latent classes, we assigned individuals to the class associated with the highest individual-specific posterior probability. We then used multinomial logistic regression to assess whether sociodemographic variables predicted a greater likelihood of membership in certain classes compared with others. These models were implemented in Stata 11.0 (StataCorps, College Station, TX) and stratified by biological sex. All analyses used survey commands to adjust for Add Health’s complex survey design and applied sampling weights to yield national population estimates.

## Results

### Sample characteristics

Table 1 presents sociodemographic characteristics of our analytical sample. Overall, the average age of initiation was just over 16 years. Approximately equal percentages of respondents reported that they initiated vaginal sex first (39%) or initiated vaginal and oral-genital sex within the same year (41%). Six percent of respondents reported engaging in only one type of sexual behavior; the majority initiated a second behavior within 2 years. Almost 43% of respondents reported having engaged in all three types of behaviors (oral-genital, vaginal, and anal sex). Just under 10% of respondents initiated anal sex before age 18 years.

### Patterns of early sexual behavior

We examined latent class solutions for three through seven classes, and selected the five-class solution on the basis of interpretability, meaningful distinctions among classes, BIC values, and classification error. This solution accounted for 80% of the variation in indicator variables. Table 2 describes the percentage distribution of each sexual behavior indicator, by latent class. Because any LCA must balance model parsimony against homogeneity within classes, the behavioral profiles of respondents within each class are not identical. Instead, the latent class label reflects the modal behavioral profile for members of that class. Respondents who were likely to initiate vaginal sex first and then wait at least 1 year before initiating another behavior (typically oral-genital sex, as less than 10% reported anal sex before age 18 years) comprised the largest class (Vaginal Initiators/Multiple Behaviors; 49%). The second largest class (Dual Initiators; 32%) consisted solely of respondents who initiated oral-genital and vaginal sex within the same year and did not have anal sex during adolescence. The third class (Vaginal Initiators/Single Behavior; 8%) was distinguished by the fact that more than three-quarters of its members had only engaged in one type of behavior (typically vaginal sex). The two smallest classes—Postponers (6%) and Early/Atypical Initiators (6%)—represented the most non-normative patterns. Postponers delayed sexual activity until almost 22 years of age, on average, but reported a relatively fast progression once initiation had occurred: 85% of respondents in this class initiated oral-genital and vaginal sex within the same year. In contrast, the Early/Atypical class was characterized by an early age of initiation (15 years, on average) in combination with initiation of two or more behaviors within the same year. Most significantly, all Early/Atypical Initiators reported having had anal sex by age 18.

### Bivariate associations between sociodemographic characteristics and sexual patterns

The distribution of latent class membership differed significantly across categories of sociodemographic indicators among both males and females, with the exception of Wave IV age among males (Table 3). Regardless of race/ethnicity, the Vaginal Initiators/Multiple Behaviors class was generally the most heavily populated for both males and females. However, black males were more heavily concentrated in this class (57% of black male respondents) than were white males (39% of white male respon-

**Table 1**  
Sociodemographic and sexual behavior characteristics of the analytical sample, by biological sex (N = 12,194)

	Male (N = 5,989)		Female (N = 6,205)		All	
	%	n	%	n	%	n
Race/ethnicity						
NH white	67.2	3,328	66.4	3,304	66.8	6,632
NH black	14.8	1,156	16.2	1,405	15.5	2,561
Hispanic	11.5	931	11.4	976	11.4	1,907
NH other race	6.6	574	5.9	520	6.3	1,094
Parent education						
Less than high school	12.0	691	12.1	823	12.0	1,514
High school diploma or GED	26.2	1,466	28.2	1,605	27.2	3,071
Some college or postsecondary	30.8	1,812	28.9	1,772	30.0	3,584
College degree or above	31.0	2,020	30.8	2,005	30.9	4,025
Chronological age at wave IV <sup>a</sup>						
24–28 years	52.2	2,781	55.0	3,105	53.5	5,886
29–34 years	47.8	3,208	45.0	3,100	46.5	6,308
Anal sex before age 18 <sup>a</sup>						
No	88.7	5,353	92.6	5,777	90.5	11,130
Yes	11.3	636	7.4	428	9.5	1,064
First behavior initiated <sup>a</sup>						
Vaginal and oral-genital	47.2	2,820	33.0	2,021	40.5	4,841
Vaginal	28.8	1,827	50.7	3,266	39.1	5,093
Oral-genital	20.5	1,138	13.8	771	17.4	1,909
Anal (with or without oral/vaginal)	3.5	204	2.5	147	3.1	351
Difference (in years) between initiation of first and second behavior <sup>a</sup>						
0 year	50.4	3,011	35.3	2,154	43.3	5,165
1 year	17.8	1,013	20.3	1,190	18.9	2,203
2 years	11.2	648	14.0	838	12.5	1,486
3–5 years	11.4	750	16.8	1,063	13.9	1,813
≥6 years	4.0	276	6.9	516	5.4	792
Only engaged in one behavior	5.2	291	6.7	444	5.9	735
Number of behaviors ever engaged in <sup>a</sup>						
1 type	5.2	291	6.7	444	5.9	735
2 types	50.6	3,111	52.7	3,347	51.6	6,458
3 types	44.2	2,587	40.6	2,414	42.5	5,001
Mean age (and standard deviation) of initiation of first behavior <sup>a</sup>	16.3	.08	16.6	.08	16.4	.07

Percentages and means are weighted to yield national population estimates.

<sup>a</sup> Distributions of sociodemographic characteristics and sexual behaviors vary significantly by biological sex,  $p < .05$ .

dents). Among females, the Dual Initiators class contained a greater proportion of white females (30%) than of any other race/ethnicity group, whereas the Vaginal Initiators/Single Behavior class contained a greater proportion of black females (21%) than any other group. The Vaginal Initiators/Multiple Behaviors and Dual Initiators classes were the most common for both males and females across parental education categories, although substantial proportions of male respondents whose parents did not complete high school also appeared in the Vaginal Initiators/Single Behavior class (17%) and Early/Atypical Initiators class (9%).

#### Multivariate associations between sociodemographic characteristics and sexual patterns

Table 4 presents results of multinomial logistic regressions modeling associations between sociodemographic characteristics and class membership, stratified by biological sex. Among both males and females, black respondents were less likely than white respondents to appear in classes characterized by initiation of two or more behaviors within the same year and more likely to appear in classes in which vaginal sex was typically the first behavior initiated. Black males were more likely than their white counterparts to appear in the Vaginal Initiators/Single Behavior class (relative risk ratio [RRR] = 1.8; 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.2, 2.6) and less likely to appear in the Dual

Initiators (RRR = 0.4; 95% CI = 0.3, 0.5), Postponers (RRR = 0.3; 95% CI = 0.2, 0.5), or Early/Atypical Initiators (RRR = 0.2; 95% CI = 0.1, 0.4) classes than in the Vaginal Initiators/Multiple Behaviors class. Similar to their male counterparts, black females were also more likely to be in the Vaginal Initiators/Single Behavior class (RRR = 3.4; 95% CI = 2.5, 4.6) and less likely to be in the Dual Initiators (RRR = 0.3; 95% CI = 0.3, 0.4), Postponers (RRR = 0.4; 95% CI = 0.2, 0.7), and Early/Atypical Initiators (RRR = 0.2; 95% CI = 0.1, 0.5) classes than in the Vaginal Initiators/Multiple Behaviors class.

In contrast, patterns of association between parent education and class membership differed somewhat by biological sex. Controlling for race/ethnicity and chronological age, female respondents whose parent(s) did not complete high school were more likely to appear in the Vaginal Initiators/Single Behaviors class than in the Vaginal Initiators/Multiple Behaviors class (RRR = 2.5; 95% CI = 1.7, 3.7) compared with respondents in a household where at least one parent had a college degree. Female respondents whose parent(s) completed high school but did not obtain a college degree were less likely to be classified as Postponers (high school diploma only: RRR = 0.3; 95% CI = 0.2, 0.5; some college: RRR = 0.5; 95% CI = 0.3, 0.8) than as Vaginal Initiators/Multiple Behaviors relative to their counterparts whose parent(s) obtained a college degree. Like females, males whose parent(s) did not complete high school were more likely than those with at least one college-educated parent to appear in the Vagi-

**Table 2**  
Distribution of sexual behavior indicators, by latent class (N = 12,194)

	Vaginal initiators/ multiple behaviors		Dual initiators		Vaginal initiators/single behaviors		Postponers		Early and atypical initiators	
	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Class size	49.0	6,040	32.0	3,821	7.6	977	5.7	726	5.7	630
First behavior initiated										
Vaginal	69.6	4,438			65.4	655				
Oral-genital	30.4	1,602			32.5	307				
Oral-genital and vaginal within same year			100.0	3,821			85.1	624	64.3	396
Anal sex (either alone or with other behavior(s) within same year)					2.2	15	14.9	102	35.7	234
Had anal sex before age 18 years										
Yes	7.2	408			2.5	19	.8	7	100.0	630
No	92.8	5,632	100.0	3,821	97.5	958	99.2	719		
Spacing										
0 years			100.0	3,821			100.0	726	98.9	618
1 year	37.1	2,105			9.3	93			.7	5
2 years	25.3	1,464			1.0	17			.3	5
3–5 years	28.0	1,781			2.6	30			.2	— <sup>a</sup>
≥6 years	9.6	690			9.2	102				
Engaged in one type of behavior					77.9	735				
Age of initiation	15.7	.06	16.5	.06	17.8	.30	21.7	.26	15.0	.10
Number of behaviors	2.4	.01	2.4	.01	1.3	.03	2.3	.02	3.0	.00

Percentages and means are weighted to yield national population estimates.

<sup>a</sup> Cell size is too small to display.

nal Initiators/Single Behavior class than in the Vaginal Initiators/Multiple Behaviors class (RRR = 2.9; 95% CI = 1.8, 4.6). However, male respondents whose parents had no postsecondary education were also more likely to appear in the Early/Atypical Initiators class (less than high school: RRR = 1.9; 95% CI = 1.1, 3.0; high school diploma or GED: RRR = 1.9; 95% CI = 1.2, 2.8) class than in the Vaginal Initiators/Multiple Behaviors class.

**Discussion**

This is the first study, to our knowledge, to examine emergent sexual patterns in a nationally representative U.S. sample on the basis of variety, timing, sequencing, and spacing of first experiences of oral-genital, anal, and vaginal sex. Using LCA, we identified five distinct behavioral profiles that explained over 80% of the variance in these indicators. Just less than half of all respondents followed a pattern characterized predominately by initiation of vaginal sex before oral-genital or anal sex, average age of initiation of approximately 16 years, and a delay of at least 1 year between initiation of the first and second behaviors. A sizeable minority of adolescents—almost one-third—initiated sexual activity slightly later but reported first experiences of oral-genital and vaginal sex within the same year. Patterns characterized by delayed onset of sexual activity, experience with only one type of sexual behavior, and early initiation of sexual activity combined with adolescent initiation of anal sex were substantially less common.

Notably, none of these classes was characterized by adolescent initiation of oral-genital sex followed by a substantial delay before initiation of vaginal sex. Although the extent to which initiation of oral-genital sex accelerates or delays the onset of vaginal sex is not fully understood [23], both cross-sectional and prospective data suggest that “substitution” of oral-genital sex for vaginal sex is relatively rare. Instead, initiation of oral-genital sex appears to substantially increase the likelihood of initiating

vaginal sex, at least in select samples [4]. Our results, based on a nationally representative sample, support these conclusions.

Although latent class structures were similar across sociodemographic groups, the likelihood of membership in each class was not. Most striking was our finding that non-Hispanic black males and females were more likely to appear in classes in which vaginal sex was typically initiated first and were substantially less likely to appear in classes defined by adolescent initiation of anal sex, initiation of oral-genital and vaginal sex within the same year, or adolescent abstention from sexual activity, compared with their non-Hispanic white counterparts. Reasons for these differences are not fully understood, but likely reflect multiple mechanisms operating within and across various levels of influence. For example, black adolescents may be less likely to delay vaginal sex because of patterns of disadvantage that reduce the opportunity costs of early sexual activity and encourage greater tolerance of adolescent sexual activity and childbearing [7,24]. Cultural variation in the perceived acceptability and appeal of various sexual practices may also contribute to observed differences [25]. In their study of sexual practices in the United States, Lauman et al. reported that black respondents were less likely to find either giving or receiving oral sex “very appealing” than whites [26]. Although they speculate that these differences are due to continued segregation of sexual networks that slow the diffusion of new sexual trends across groups, underlying causes of racial/ethnic differences in sexual patterns remain unclear.

Our results reveal complex associations among the behavioral characteristics that comprise broad sexual patterns. Respondents in the two classes largely characterized by initiation of vaginal sex first, for example, varied substantially in their ages of initiation and whether they went on to initiate noncoital behaviors. Similarly, age of initiation did not necessarily predict the extent to which sequences of initiation were spaced out over time; although the vast majority of both Dual Initiators and

**Table 3**  
Bivariate associations between sociodemographic characteristics and latent class membership, by biological sex

	Vaginal initiators/ multiple behaviors		Dual initiators		Vaginal initiators/single behaviors		Postponers		Early and atypical initiators	
	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Males (N = 5,989)										
Race/ethnicity <sup>a</sup>										
NH white	39.4	1,304	41.4	1,414	4.9	156	6.4	222	7.8	232
NH black	57.0	678	22.1	259	15.2	136	3.0	42	2.7	41
Hispanic	45.5	397	30.9	327	9.1	72	4.6	46	10.0	89
NH other race	35.3	183	40.9	237	7.5	48	9.3	65	6.9	41
Parent education <sup>a</sup>										
Less than high school	41.5	297	28.0	212	17.2	88	4.2	39	9.2	55
High school diploma or GED	40.2	607	38.0	552	7.0	106	5.6	75	9.3	126
Some college or postsecondary	43.1	788	40.8	701	4.5	96	5.5	111	6.2	116
College degree or above	44.1	870	37.0	772	5.9	122	7.2	150	5.9	106
Chronological age at wave IV <sup>a</sup>										
24–28 years	44.8	1,230	35.7	1,033	6.8	178	4.7	151	8.0	189
29–34 years	39.8	1,332	39.1	1,204	7.4	234	7.2	224	6.4	214
Total	42.4	2,562	37.3	2,237	7.1	412	5.9	375	7.3	403
Females (N = 6,205)										
Race/ethnicity <sup>a</sup>										
NH white	55.5	1,783	30.1	1,009	4.6	167	5.2	199	4.6	146
NH black	64.4	931	11.4	175	20.5	245	2.2	33	1.2	21
Hispanic	52.6	533	22.6	238	10.6	101	9.8	64	4.4	40
NH other race	53.5	231	25.4	162	8.7	52	8.9	55	3.6	20
Parent education <sup>a</sup>										
Less than high school	51.6	435	19.2	168	18.4	146	7.5	45	3.0	29
High school diploma or GED	59.2	940	25.0	382	8.8	164	2.8	64	4.3	55
Some college or postsecondary	58.6	1,039	27.0	466	5.8	111	4.4	77	4.2	79
College degree or above	53.9	1,064	28.5	568	5.6	144	8.2	165	3.8	64
Chronological age at wave IV <sup>a</sup>										
24–28 years	57.0	1,729	26.3	829	7.3	256	5.1	165	4.3	126
29–34 years	55.9	1,749	25.5	755	9.1	309	6.0	186	3.5	101
Total	56.5	3,478	26.0	1,584	8.1	565	5.5	351	4.0	227

Percentages reflect row percents and are weighted to yield national population estimates.

<sup>a</sup> Distribution of latent class membership differs significantly across categories of sociodemographic indicator,  $p < .01$ .

Postponers initiated oral-genital and vaginal sex within the same year, their average ages of initiation differed by over 5 years. However, age of initiation was associated with variety of sexual experience. Early/Atypical Initiators reported the earliest ages of initiation and were also the only class defined by experience with all three behaviors. Taken together, these findings indicate that examining single sexual behaviors in isolation does not fully capture the complexity of emerging adolescent and young adult sexuality, and potentially overlooks aspects of early sexuality that may have important implications for subsequent health and well-being.

### Limitations

Strengths of this analysis include use of a nationally representative and sociodemographically diverse sample, inclusion of both coital and noncoital behaviors, and attention to other elements of sexual development beyond just the timing of the first coital experience. However, our reliance on retrospective self-reported data entails several limitations. Particularly for older respondents who initiated sexual activity at an early age, recall of ages of initiation may be inaccurate. (In supplemental analyses, we explored the consistency of these reports by comparing respondents' reports of the age of first vaginal sex at Wave III [when respondents were between the ages of 18 and 26 years]

and Wave IV [when respondents were 26–32 years of age]. Although a substantial proportion of respondents reported inconsistent ages, the vast majority of inconsistencies were relatively small in magnitude; approximately 84% of respondents either reported the same age at Wave III and Wave IV or reported an absolute difference of only one year). Moreover, because respondents reported ages of initiation in whole years, we were unable to determine the temporal ordering of behaviors that occurred at the same age. Data limitations also prevented us from considering a broader range of sexual behaviors (e.g., masturbation, kissing, etc.), the interpersonal context in which behaviors occurred, and the voluntariness of first sexual experiences.

### Conclusions

Past research on adolescent sexuality has revealed little about how partnered sexual activity unfolds. By simultaneously examining timing, sequencing, spacing, and variety of behaviors, we were able to more completely describe patterns of emerging sexuality among U.S. adolescents. This work contributes to growing interest in the full repertoire of adolescent sexual and romantic experiences, the processes by which these behaviors interact with biological, psychological, and social factors to contribute to adult health and well-being, and the role of emerging sexuality in

**Table 4**

Relative risk ratios (and 95% confidence intervals) showing the association between sociodemographic characteristics and the likelihood of belonging to a class, by biological sex

	Dual initiators RRR (95% CI)	Vaginal initiators/single behavior RRR (95% CI)	Postponers RRR (95% CI)	Early and atypical initiators RRR (95% CI)
Males (N = 6,205)				
Race/ethnicity				
NH white	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
NH black	.36 (.28–.47)*	1.81 (1.24–2.64)**	.32 (.19–.51)*	.22 (.12–.41)*
Hispanic	.66 (.51–.86)**	1.00 (.67–1.50)	.65 (.39–1.09)	.94 (.65–1.35)
NH other race	1.12 (.79–1.57)	1.62 (.94–2.79)	1.65 (.92–2.93)	.99 (.57–1.72)
Parent education				
Less than high school	.99 (.74–1.34)	2.92 (1.84–4.63)*	.77 (.42–1.41)	1.85 (1.12–3.04)***
High school diploma or GED	1.19 (.95–1.50)	1.24 (.81–1.89)	.91 (.59–1.38)	1.85 (1.21–2.82)**
Some college or postsecondary	1.18 (.96–1.45)	.76 (.52–1.11)	.83 (.55–1.25)	1.12 (.78–1.61)
College degree or above	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Chronological age at wave IV				
24–28 years	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
29–34 years	1.28 (1.10–1.50)**	1.15 (.85–1.55)	1.83 (1.37–2.43)*	.93 (.67–1.30)
Females (N = 5,989)				
Race/ethnicity				
NH white	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
NH black	.33 (.26–.43)*	3.35 (2.46–4.55)*	.40 (.24–.69)**	.22 (.10–.45)*
Hispanic	.83 (.62–1.12)	1.69 (1.15–2.49)**	2.00 (1.28–3.12)**	1.05 (.60–1.84)
NH other race	.88 (.66–1.17)	1.78 (.90–3.54)	1.69 (.97–2.93)	.81 (.34–1.96)
Parent education				
Less than high school	.81 (.57–1.15)	2.51 (1.69–3.72)*	.77 (.49–1.21)	.91 (.49–1.70)
High school diploma or GED	.87 (.68–1.11)	1.21 (.83–1.76)	.33 (.21–.50)*	1.11 (.68–1.82)
Some college or postsecondary	.91 (.72–1.15)	.86 (.53–1.39)	.50 (.34–.75)**	1.06 (.68–1.64)
College degree or above	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Chronological age at wave IV				
24–28 years	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
29–34 years	1.00 (.86–1.18)	1.19 (.90–1.56)	1.19 (.85–1.67)	.85 (.59–1.22)

RRR = relative risk ratio; CI = confidence interval.

Vaginal initiators are the reference category. Each variable is adjusted for all other variables in the model.

\*  $p < .001$ , \*\*  $p < .01$ , \*\*\*  $p < .05$ .

the context of other developmental processes [27,28]. Research on whether and how early sexual patterns contribute to adult sexual and reproductive health should be a priority, as both researchers and policymakers seek to promote healthy sexuality across the life course.

## Acknowledgments

This research uses data from Add Health, a program project directed by Kathleen Mullan Harris and designed by J. Richard Udry, Peter S. Bearman, and Kathleen Mullan Harris at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, and funded by grant P01-HD31921 from the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, with cooperative funding from 23 other federal agencies and foundations. Special acknowledgment is due Ronald R. Rindfuss and Barbara Entwisle for assistance in the original design. Information on how to obtain the Add Health data files is available on the Add Health Web site (<http://www.cpc.unc.edu/addhealth>). No direct support was received from grant P01-HD31921 for this analysis. This research was supported by grant 5 R24 HD050924, Carolina Population Center, awarded to the Carolina Population Center at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill by the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. Effort by A.A.H. and C.T.H. was supported by grant R01HD57046, awarded to C.T.H. of the Carolina Population Center at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill by the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.

At the time this work was conducted, A. A. Haydon was a doctoral student in the Department of Maternal and Child Health at the Gillings School of Global Public Health and a predoctoral trainee at the Carolina Population Center, both at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

## References

- [1] Zimmer-Gembeck MJ, Helfand M. Ten years of longitudinal research on US adolescent sexual behavior: Developmental correlates of sexual intercourse, and the importance of age, gender and ethnic background. *Dev Rev* 2008;28:153–224.
- [2] Chandra A, Mosher WD, Copen C, et al. Sexual Behavior, Sexual Attraction, and Sexual Identity in the United States: Data From the 2006–2008 National Survey of Family Growth. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics, 2011.
- [3] Lindberg LD, Jones R, Santelli JS. Noncoital sexual activities among adolescents. *J Adolesc Health* 2008;43:231–8.
- [4] Song AV, Halpern-Felsher BL. Predictive relationship between adolescent oral and vaginal sex: Results from a prospective, longitudinal study. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2001;165:243–9.
- [5] Leichter JS, Chandra A, Liddon N, et al. Prevalence and correlates of heterosexual anal and oral sex in adolescents and adults in the United States. *J Infect Dis* 2007;196:1852–9.
- [6] Cavazos-Rehg PA, Krauss MJ, Spitznagel EL, et al. Age of sexual debut among US adolescents. *Contraception* 2009;80:158–62.
- [7] Furstenberg FF, Morgan SP, Moore KA, et al. Race differences in the timing of adolescent intercourse. *Am Sociol Rev* 1987;52:511–8.
- [8] Ompad DC, Strathdee SA, Celentano DD, et al. Predictors of early initiation of vaginal and oral sex among urban young adults in Baltimore, Maryland. *Arch Sex Behav* 2006;35:53–65.
- [9] Brewster KL, Tillman KH. Who's doing it? Patterns and predictors of youths' oral sexual experiences. *J Adolesc Health* 2008;42:73–80.

- [10] de Graaf H, Vanwesenbeeck I, Meijer S, et al. Sexual trajectories during adolescence: Relation to demographic characteristics and sexual risk. *Arch Sex Behav* 2009;38:276–82.
- [11] Smith EA, Udry JR. Coital and non-coital sexual behaviors of white and black adolescents. *Am J Public Health* 1985;75:1200–3.
- [12] Shanahan MJ, Erickson LD, Bauer DJ. One hundred years of knowing: The changing science of adolescence, 1904 and 2004. *J Res Adolesc* 2005;15:383–94.
- [13] Meier A, Allen G. Romantic relationships from adolescence to young adulthood: Evidence from the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health. *Sociol Q* 2009;50:308–35.
- [14] Halpern CT, Hallfors D, Bauer DJ, et al. Implications of racial and gender differences in patterns of adolescent risk behavior for HIV and other sexually transmitted diseases. *Perspect Sex Reprod Health* 2004;36:239–47.
- [15] Hallfors DD, Iritani BJ, Miller WC, Bauer DJ. Sexual and drug behavior patterns and HIV and STD racial disparities: The need for new directions. *Am J Public Health* 2007;97:125–32.
- [16] Harris KM, Halpern CT, Entzel P, et al. The National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health: Research Design. Available at: <http://www.cpc.unc.edu/projects/addhealth/design>. Accessed January 16, 2011.
- [17] Diamond LM. Female bisexuality from adolescence to adulthood: Results from a 10-year longitudinal study. *Dev Psychol* 2008;44:5–14.
- [18] Savin-Williams RC, Ream GL. Prevalence and stability of sexual orientation components during adolescence and young adulthood. *Arch Sex Behav* 2007;36:385–94.
- [19] Goodman LA. Exploratory latent structure analysis using both identifiable and unidentifiable models. *Biometrika* 1974;61:215–31.
- [20] McCutcheon AC. *Latent Class Analysis*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications, 1987.
- [21] Nylund KL, Asparoutiov T, Muthen BO. Deciding on the number of classes in latent class analysis and growth mixture modeling: A Monte Carlo simulation study. *Struct Equ Modeling* 2007;14:535–69.
- [22] Schwarz G. Estimating dimension of a model. *Ann Stat* 1978;6:461–4.
- [23] Halpern-Felsher B. Oral sexual behavior: Harm reduction or gateway behavior? *J Adolesc Health* 2008;43:207–8.
- [24] East PL. Racial and ethnic differences in girls' sexual, marital, and birth expectations. *J Marriage Fam* 1998;60:150–62.
- [25] Halperin DT. Heterosexual anal intercourse: Prevalence, cultural factors, and HIV infection and other health risks, part I. *AIDS Patient Care STDs* 1999;13:717–30.
- [26] Laumann EO, Gagnon JH, Michael RT, et al. *The Social Construction of Sexuality: Sexual Practices in the United States*. Chicago, Illinois: University of Chicago Press, 1994.
- [27] Graber JA, Brooks-Gunn J, Galen BR. Betwixt and between: Sexuality in the context of adolescent transitions. In: Jessor R, ed. *New Perspectives on Adolescent Risk Behavior*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [28] Halpern CT. Reframing research on adolescent sexuality: Healthy sexual development as part of the life course. *Perspect Sex Reprod Health* 2010;42:6–7.